UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

	101 t	iie		
Dis	strict of N	lew Jersey		
United States of America v. DANIEL DADOUN)))	Case No.	2:23mj8137	
Defendant)			
ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL				
Part I - Eligibility for Detention				
Upon the				
☐ Motion of the Government attorney produced in Motion of the Government or Court's the Court held a detention hearing and found that deteand conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 31	own mot	ion pursuant t	to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2), his order sets forth the Court's findings of fac	
Part II - Findings of Fact an				
☐ (b) an offense for which the maximum ☐ (c) an offense for which a maximum Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter ☐ (d) any felony if such person has been (a) through (c) of this paragraph, or described in subparagraphs (a) through jurisdiction had existed, or a combine	f conditions have the follow of 18 U.S. hum term of increase term of increase term of increase two or many term of setting of the conviction of setting of setting of setting the control of setting the control of setting the condition of setting t	ons will reasone been met: ving crimes de C. § 1591, or of imprisonment region in the Co- tle 46, U.S.C. ted of two or ore State or lo this paragraph such offenses;	escribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1): an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. nent of 10 years or more is prescribed; or risonment or death; or of 10 years or more is prescribed in the ntrolled Substances Import and Export Act (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or more offenses described in subparagraphs ocal offenses that would have been offenses the if a circumstance giving rise to Federal or	
 (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or □ (2) the defendant has previously been co § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offens to Federal jurisdiction had existed; and 	n of a fire (iv) a faire nvicted of that wo	arm or destru ilure to registe f a Federal of uld have beer	ctive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); er under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i> fense that is described in 18 U.S.C. a such an offense if a circumstance giving rise	
☐ (3) the offense described in paragraph (2 committed while the defendant was on re				

☐ (4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial		
☐ B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a		
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the		
defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant		
committed one or more of the following offenses:		
\Box (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the		
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21		
U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);		
\square (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;		
\square (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years		
or more is prescribed;		
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of		
imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or		
☐ (5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245,		
2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4),		
2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.		
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above		
☐ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is		
ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)		
ordered on that basis. (I art in need not be completed.)		
OR		
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but often considering the		
☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.		
presumption and the other factors discussed below, detertion is warranted.		
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention		
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing,		
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:		
☐ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure		
the safety of any other person and the community.		
☐ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure		
the defendant's appearance as required.		
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:		
☐ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong		
☐ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted		
☐ Prior criminal history		
☐ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision		
☐ History of violence or use of weapons		
☐ History of violence of use of weapons ☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse		
☐ Lack of stable employment		
☐ Lack of stable residence		
☐ Lack of financially responsible sureties		
L Lack of infallerally responsible survices		

Case 2:23-mj-08137-JSA Document 6 Filed 11/13/23 Page 3 of 3 PageID: 20

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial
 □ Lack of significant community or family ties to this district □ Significant family or other ties outside the United States □ Lack of legal status in the United States □ Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration □ Prior failure to appear in court as ordered □ Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement □ Use of alias(es) or false documents □ Background information unknown or unverified □ Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release
OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:
Defendant remanded to Federal custody by consent with the right to make a bail application at a later time. Defendant remanded to State custody with the right to make a bail application at a later time. Defendant remanded to custody pending the resolution of the case. Defendant remanded to custody pending hearing
Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention
The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding. Date: 11/13/2023 ANDRÉ M. ESPINOSA, UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE